

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/044,426	11/13/2001	Helle Woldike	5565.214-US	3262	
7.	590 03/28/2003				
Reza Green, Esq. Novo Nordisk of North America, Inc.			EXAMINER		
405 Lexington	Avenue, Suite 6400		MARVICH	MARVICH, MARIA	
New York, NY 10174-6401			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1636 DATE MAILED: 03/28/2003	14	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Advisory Action	10/044,426	WOLDIKE ET AL.
, aricely reason	Examin r	Art Unit
	Maria B Marvich, PhD	1636
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspond nce address
THE REPLY FILED 03 March 2003 FAILS TO PLACE T Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appea Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the control of	cation. A proper reply to a
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverse, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moleanned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 sion and the corresponding amount of the statutory period for reply originally set in	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 36(a) and the appropriate extension fee fee. The appropriate extension fee under the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in
1. △ A Notice of Appeal was filed on <u>21 February 2003</u> . 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFI	R 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal o	within the period set forth in of the appeal.
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be		
(a) ⊠ they raise new issues that would require further	•	see NOTE below);
(b) M they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	•	
(c) they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	erially reducing or simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ing a corresponding number of t	finally rejected claims.
NOTE:		•
Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):	
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request for application in condition for allowance because: the	reconsideration has been cons amendment raises new issues and	idered but does NOT place the does new matter.
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered bed raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we	(s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is pro∨ided belo	D☐ will be entered and an ow or appended.
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		
Claim(s) allowed:		
Claim(s) objected to:		
Claim(s) rejected: 1-5 and 7-10.		
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a)∏ approved or b)∏ disapp	roved by the Examiner.
9. \square Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	DAME OUZO
0.☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		DAVID GUZO PRIMARY EXAMINER Land Jugar
Patent and Trademark Office		

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303) 10/044,426

Application No.

Continuation of 2 a and b) The claims if amended as proposed in the amendment filed 3/3/03, raises new issue and new matter requiring further consideration and a prior art search. Amendment of the claim language from a yeast derived endoprotease having Kex 2 enzymatic activity to a Kex 2 variant having Kex 2 enymatic activity adds the limitation that the endoprotease be a Kex2 variant which finds no clear basis in the original disclosure. The specification does not define or describe a Kex 2 variant the scope of which is unclear.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claim 4 is dependent on claim 3 which applicant proposes be cancelled .